

Data insights: Platforms - value in content mapping and viewing

26 Feb 2021 Issued by Ebony+Ivory

Moving from silo media planning to content mapping and a 'value in' instead of 'cost out' approach to media procurement.





DATA INSIGHTS: PLATFORMS PART 1

Finding a logical starting point for an analysis of platforms requires us to challenge the traditional understanding of Media Planning.

The historically misleading thing about media planning is that it implies that the medium itself is the place to commence the process. It's essentially a throwback to the old chestnut from Marshall McLuhan, "the medium is the message", which suggests to us that the media planner must simply get more media exposure: preferably for less money. Get the right balance of reach and frequency, add all the media numbers together, and you get a result.

However, in a world where media distribution is ubiquitous, we are increasingly coming to understand that "the message is the message" and equally that "the message is content". Increasingly the role of media strategists is to map out the optimal distribution of content across media platforms. Not just to maximise the total number of people exposed to the campaign but to maximise the relevance and impact of content delivery, at each and every point of the consumer interface. Not least of all at the point of purchase: whether that is in-store or online.

From Media Planning to Content Mapping



click to enlarge

What is content mapping?

The 'content mapping' approach is reinforced by the 2020 WFA (World Federation of Advertisers) Project Spring¹, which sets out to create a 'value in' instead of 'cost out' approach to media buying. A global best-practice approach towards computing actual return on media investment (ROMI) rather than the value of discount savings.

Attribution modelling means that maintaining a share of consciousness, rather than a relatively competitive ad spend share of voice, becomes the yardstick of success. In the future, trying to sell media based primarily on audience size and discounted cost-efficiencies will be like running an advertisement for Dunkin Donuts in a Tim Noakes Banting-diet guidebook.

We need to look at media from the consumer's perspective:

- Some consumers read (call them reading imperatives).
- Some consumers listen (listening imperatives).
- And some consumers are watching or viewing imperatives.

But the vast majority of consumers do everything. They read. They watch. They listen. They interact and very often, they do it all at the same time or at least with no behavioural consciousness of shifting across channels.

As media strategists, we need to analyse people's content preferences and media consumption behaviour in order to discern their content priorities. Ultimately, this allows us to break down the traditional media silos that are a barrier to holistic communication.

What's on the box on content viewing?

To start seeing the dynamics of the South African media platforms clearly, we can switch on our socio-economic measure (SEM) intel on the TV landscape.

TV viewing is ubiquitous in South Africa. At least 92% of all people (87% in the traditional market referred to as SEM_C1) have watched TV in the past seven days. What is intriguing is that this exceeds claimed radio listenership (87%) over the same period, which raises questions about that medium's traditional claims to deliver inaccessible market segments not reached by other media.

Looking beyond the reach offered by TV allows us to assess the impact of the viewing platform and the holistic viewing experience on the impact of any advertising message.

On average over 90% of all homes have a TV set (80% in SEM_C1²) but the functionality of those sets varies dramatically across the SEM spectrum. A total of 97% of SEM_C5 (elite market) TV adults that have viewed TV in the past seven days have a flatscreen/HD set in the home, compared to 12% in SEM_C1. The inverse distribution pattern applies to standard

box TV sets where they represent three out of every four sets (72%) in SEM C1.

This has a significant impact on visual impact for any TVC.

A cellular view of content viewing

The only media platform with reach levels to rival TV is the mobile device as 98% of all adults have access to a cellphone³.

When it comes to cellphones, ultimately, the real differentiator is functionality. Incidence of smartphones is at its highest in SEM_C5 (94%) declining steadily down the SEM spectrum. Even at the low-end of the market one in every two cellphones (49%) is a smartphone. At least 92% of people accessing the internet in the past week have done so using a smartphone while only 12% made use of a desktop or laptop computer.

Ultimately, access to internet and always-on access via a cellphone totally redefines the nature of content viewing, and the concept of the lean-in single screen viewing experience is obsolete.

The vast majority of South Africans (95%) still watch traditional live TV broadcasts but the intrusion of subscriber TV and digital technology becomes readily apparent as we progress up the SEM scale.

In SEM_C1, only 12% of TV viewers watch some form of VDTV (viewer determined TV) or as it is sometimes known, TV on demand (TVOD). Eight out of every 10 TV viewers in SEM_C1 (84%) are reliant exclusively on traditional TV broadcast format. Very few people are exclusively reliant on VDTV (3%).

The ratio of live TV to VDTV shifts dramatically from SEM_C4 where 27% watch both TV formats. By the time we reach SEM_C5, the balance between live TV and viewer scheduled engagement is almost a 50:50 balance. Much of this VDTV activity is still heavily fueled by the market dominant DSTV offering and even in SEM_C1 one in five viewers (21%) is a DSTV subscriber.

DSTV drives these low-end subscriptions through offering subscriber packages such as **DSTV Access** (R110 pm) and **DSTV EasyView** (R29 pm) packages, which offer inter alia the 'free channels' SABC1, SABC3 and eTV.

The continued erosion of DSTV positioning as an exclusive top-end TV viewing platform is clearly illustrated within the SEM segmentation model. SEM_C5 may still account for 62% of subscribers to the **DSTV Premium** bouquet but, overall, this segment only accounts for 16% of total DSTV subscribers. SEM_C1 (8%) and SEM_C2 (15%) collectively account for more DSTV subscribers than the top-end cluster.

Against this backdrop, it comes as no surprise that the three most viewed channels on DSTV are SABC1, SABC2 and ETV. Outside of this mix, the first exclusively DSTV offering is Mzansi Bioskop, which further illustrates the changing nature of the pay-TV market.

These kinds of dynamics make it clear that from a content mapping perspective, it is vital to go beyond 'cost out' size of audience considerations and embrace the unique 'value in' contribution of individual viewing platforms and content offerings.

In the next segment (6b of 8) of this *blog series for marketers*, we review *platforms* in the context of content listening and reading in South Africa.

Make your targets by getting your brand to the right audience.

Contact us here>

About the Ebony+Ivory Marketing Segmentation South Africa Series

Drawing data and insights from the most recently published industry database Pams_2019 (released April-2020) and the Nielsen Fusion Study 2020 (released November_2020), we interrogate the SEM model through four lenses. People and Places, Purchasing Power, Products, and Platforms.

- ¹.https://wfanet.org/leadership/project-spring
- ². Establishment Survey 2019
- 3. Establishment Survey 2019
 - * Ebony+Ivory announces new client win: PwC Africa 23 Feb 2024
 - " Ebony+Ivory eyes East Africa 29 Jan 2024
 - * Authentic transformation in advertising 18 Dec 2023
 - "Solving the multi-agency dilemma for CMOs 16 Nov 2023
 - * Delivering performance-based marketing 18 Aug 2023

Ebony+Ivory



We are creators who connect insights, strategy, creative and media through connective campaigns. Unlimited. Unparalleled.

Profile | News | Contact | Twitter | RSS Feed

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com