🗱 BIZCOMMUNITY

Space tourism: Rockets emit 100 times more CO2 per passenger than flights - imagine a whole industry

By Eloise Marais

20 Jul 2021

The commercial race to get tourists to space is heating up between Virgin Group founder Sir Richard Branson and former Amazon CEO Jeff Bezos. On Sunday, 11 July, Branson ascended 80km to reach the edge of space in his piloted <u>Virgin</u> <u>Galactic VSS Unity</u> spaceplane. Bezos' autonomous Blue Origin rocket <u>is due to launch on 20 July</u>, coinciding with the anniversary of the Apollo 11 Moon landing.



Source: Dave Malkoff, Flickr, OC BY-NC-SA 2.0

Though Bezos loses to Branson in time, he is set to reach higher altitudes -(<u>about 120km</u>). The launch will demonstrate his offering to very wealthy tourists: the opportunity to truly reach outer space. Both tour packages will provide passengers with a brief ten-minute frolic in zero gravity and glimpses of Earth from space. Not to be outdone, Elon Musk's SpaceX will provide four to five days of <u>orbital travel</u> with its Crew Dragon capsule later in 2021.

What are the environmental consequences of a space tourism industry likely to be? Bezos boasts his Blue Origin rockets are <u>greener</u> than Branson's VSS Unity. The Blue Engine 3 (BE-3) will <u>launch</u> Bezos, his brother and two guests into space using liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen propellants. VSS Unity used <u>a hybrid propellant</u> comprised of a solid carbon-based fuel, hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), and a liquid oxidant, nitrous oxide (laughing gas). The SpaceX Falcon series of reusable rockets will propel the Crew Dragon into orbit using liquid kerosene and liquid oxygen.

Our first human flight on Tuesday will be the 16th flight in <u>#NewShepard</u>'s history. Learn about the meticulous &

rigorous launch program that brought us to this first step. Watch the launch live on <u>https://t.co/7Y4TherpLr</u>, starting at 6:30 am CDT / 11:30 UTC. <u>#NSFirstHumanFlight pic.twitter.com/xWQRYLikZd</u>— Blue Origin (@blueorigin) <u>July 18, 2021</u>

Burning these propellants provides the energy needed to launch rockets into space while also generating greenhouse gases and air pollutants. Large quantities of water vapour are produced by burning the BE-3 propellant, while combustion of both the VSS Unity and Falcon fuels produces CO₂, soot and some water vapour. The nitrogen-based oxidant used by VSS Unity also generates nitrogen oxides, compounds that contribute to air pollution closer to Earth.

Roughly two-thirds of the propellant exhaust is released into the stratosphere (12km-50 km) and mesosphere (50km-85 km),

where it can persist for at least 2-3 years. The very high temperatures during launch and re-entry (when the protective heat shields of the returning crafts burn up) also convert stable nitrogen in the air into reactive nitrogen oxides.

These gases and particles have many negative effects on the atmosphere. In the stratosphere, nitrogen oxides and chemicals formed from the breakdown of water vapour convert ozone into oxygen, depleting the ozone layer which guards life on Earth against harmful UV radiation. Water vapour also produces stratospheric clouds that provide a surface for this reaction to occur at a faster pace than it otherwise would.

<



World's first space hotel set to open in 2027

Space tourism and climate change

Exhaust emissions of CO₂ and soot trap heat in the atmosphere, contributing to global warming. Cooling of the atmosphere can also occur, as clouds formed from the emitted water vapour reflect incoming sunlight back to space. A depleted ozone layer would also absorb less incoming sunlight, and so heat the stratosphere less.

Figuring out the overall effect of rocket launches on the atmosphere will require detailed modelling in order to account for these complex processes and the persistence of these pollutants in the upper atmosphere. Equally important is a clear understanding of how the space tourism industry will develop.

Virgin Galactic anticipates it will offer <u>400 spaceflights each year</u> to the privileged few who can afford them. Blue Origin and SpaceX have yet to announce their plans. But globally, rocket launches wouldn't need to increase by much from the current <u>100 or so performed each year</u> to induce harmful effects that are <u>competitive with other sources</u>, like ozone-depleting chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), and CO₂ from aircraft.

During launch, rockets can emit between four and 10 times more nitrogen oxides than <u>Drax</u>, the largest thermal power plant in the UK, over the same period. CO₂ emissions for the four or so tourists on a space flight will be between 50 and 100 times more than the <u>one to three tonnes</u> per passenger on a long-haul flight.

In order for international regulators to keep up with this nascent industry and control its pollution properly, scientists need a better understanding of the effect these billionaire astronauts will have on our planet's atmosphere.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Boise Marais, associate professor in physical geography, UCL

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com